April 15, 2003

TO: Internal File

THRU: Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor

FROM: James D. Smith, Senior Reclamation Specialist

RE: 1999 Fourth Quarter Water Monitoring, CO-OP Mining Company, Bear Canyon

Mine, ACT/015/025-WQ99-4

- **1. Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?** YES [X] NO [] *Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known*:
- **2.** On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data. See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling Due Date

Renewal submittal due 07/02/00, renewal due 11/02/00. Baseline parameters are to be taken in August of year 5 prior to each permit renewal (Table 7.1-8).

3. Were all required parameters reported for each site? YES [] NO [X] Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

SBC-9: Total Cations and Total Anions not reported; DH-1A: Dissolved Mn and Total Mn not reported;

4. Were irregularities found in the data? Comments, including identity of monitoring site	-] NO []
SBC-4: K (n = 24) is outside the two standard-SBC-9: Flow (n = 41) and Na (n = 22) are outsing SMH-2: Lab Specific Conductivity (n = 10) is α	ide the two standard-o		
5. Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites	s?		
	1 st month,	YES [X*]	NO [
	2 nd month,	YES [X*]	NO [
Identify sites and months not monitored:	3 rd month,	YES [X*]	NO [
*No reports for site -007, but this sedimentation pond a been built yet.	a die wha Horse Klaj	50 / Maridon .	nas no
6. Were all required DMR parameters reported? Comments, including identity of monitoring site		YES[] NO[X]	
UTG040006-004 October, November, and Dece	ember: 7-day Avg TS	S was not re	ported
7. Were irregularities found in the DMR data? Comments, including identity of monitoring site	-] NO []
UTG040006-004 October: TDS-DMxDMR in standard-deviation range.	mg/L (n = 90) was ou	tside the two)

8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?

Irregularities in monitoring data do not appear significant and no further action is recommended other than watching for possible trends.

O:\015025.BCN\WATER QUALITY\WQ_99-4.DOC